

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

DANIEL GATSON,	:	Civil No. 10-5610 (DRD)
	:	
Petitioner,	:	
	:	
v.	:	NOTICE and ORDER
	:	
GREG BARTKOWSKI, et al.,	:	
	:	
	:	
Respondents.	:	

You have filed an Application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This Court is required by Mason v. Meyers, 208 F.3d 414 (3d Cir. 2000), to notify you of the following consequences of filing such an application under the Antiterrorism Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA) and to give you an opportunity to file one all-inclusive § 2254 Application.

1. Under the AEDPA, prisoners challenging the legality of their detention pursuant to the judgment of a State court must marshal in one § 2254 Application all the arguments they have to collaterally attack the State judgment and, except in extremely limited circumstances, file this one all-inclusive application within one year of the date on which the judgment of conviction becomes final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d).

2. It is not apparent to the Court whether you intend the application you filed to be your one all-inclusive § 2254 Application. Therefore, you may now tell the Court how you want to proceed by choosing one of the following options and notifying the Clerk of your choice pursuant to the terms of this Order.

- a. Have your pending § 2254 Application ruled upon as is;
or
- b. Withdraw your pending § 2254 Application and file one all-inclusive § 2254 Application subject to the one-year statute of limitations.

3. If you choose option (a), then you will lose your ability to file a second or successive Application under § 2254, absent certification by the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit and extraordinary circumstances.

4. If you choose option (b) **and** your original Application was filed within the one-year statute of limitations under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d), then the statute of limitations will be tolled from the date you handed your original application to prison officials for mailing to the Clerk of the Court until 45 days after you receive this Order. Under these circumstances, if your original § 2254 Application was filed within the one-year limitations period, you will have the 45-day response period plus any additional time remaining within your 365-day statute of limitation period to draft and file your all-inclusive § 2254

Application. (For example, where a prisoner mailed his original application to the Clerk on the 364th day after his conviction became final, the limitation period would be tolled from the date he gave the original application to prison officials for mailing until the expiration of the 45-day response period. This prisoner would have the 45-day period plus one remaining day to hand a timely all-inclusive § 2254 petition to prison officials for mailing to the Clerk for filing).

IT IS therefore on this 8th day of February, 2011,

ORDERED that you have 45 days from the date you receive this Order to file with the Clerk a letter or other written response signed by you advising the Court how you would like to proceed; and it is further

ORDERED that, if you do not file a signed response choosing one of the above options within 45 days of your receipt of this Order, then the Court will rule on your Application as it is.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Dickinson R. Debevoise".

DICKINSON R. DEBEVOISE
United States District Judge